

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,464	07/18/2003	Wayne L. Johnson	071469-0304543	1154
909	7590 09/27/2006	EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY P.O. BOX 10:	WINTHROP SHAW	MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Application No. 10/621,464 Examiner

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/621,464	JOHNSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sylvia R. MacArthur	1763	

	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1/63	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause ·
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	, ,		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	•		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	thefere are as the date of filler and		4144
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		L	
		197	
		PARVIZ HASSAN	ZADEH

PARVIZ HASSANZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to independent claims 1, 15, and 34-36 that the match network be located within the chuck assembly requires new search and consideration. Also, the examiner wishes to clarify that the rejections to Barnes et al should be recited as "Liu et al" which is to USP 6,775,170.

If there any further questions, please contact me at sylvia.macarthur@uspto.gov or 571-272-1438